UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,417	11/10/2005	Gareth David Huntley Shaw	050446PCTUS	3622
26285 K&L GATES L	7590 01/26/200 LP	9	EXAMINER	
535 SMITHFIE			COY, NICOLE A	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,417	SHAW ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NICOLE COY	3672
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19,23,28 and 32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest specific claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19, 23, 28, and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/538,417 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19, 23, 28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsley (20040244973) in view of Bohn et al. (USP 6306917).

With respect to claim 23, the Parsley reference discloses a plant for providing gas for downhole injection for pressure support in an oil reservoir for recovering of hydrocarbons and production of oxygenated hydrocarbons or higher hydrocarbons from natural gas, comprising: an air separation unit for production of an oxygen-rich fraction for supply to processes that require oxygen, and a nitrogen-rich fraction for injection; a reformer for conversion of a mixture of natural gas, water and oxygen or oxygen enriched air from the air separation unit into a synthesis gas comprising mainly H₂, CO, CO₂ and small amounts of methane in addition to any inert gas, such as nitrogen; a synthesis unit for conversion of the synthesis gas for synthesis of higher hydrocarbons; means for injecting gas into the reservoir; means for transferring nitrogen from the air separation unit to the means for injecting gas; and means for transferring at least a part of a waste gas from the synthesis unit to the means for injecting gas, and a tail gas treatment unit for removing CO by a shift reaction and separation of hydrogen from the

remaining tail gas (paragraphs 0016, 0025, 0037, and 0044). With respect to claim 23, Parsley does not disclose the separation of hydrogen or means for introducing hydrogen into the F-T loop to adjust the ratio of H2/CO. Bohn et al. disclose separating hydrogen and introducing it to the FT loop to adjust the ratio of H2/CO in order to recycle gases and increase the H2:Co ratio in the synthesis gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Parsley by including a H2 recycle line as taught by Bohn et al. in order to recycle gas and increase the H2:CO ratio in the synthesis gas.

With respect to claim 19, the reference discloses further comprising means for transferring the remaining tail gas from the tail gas treatment unit to the means for injecting gas (paragraphs 0043 and 0044).

With respect to claim 32, the reference discloses a plant for providing gas for downhole injection for pressure support in an oil reservoir for recovering of hydrocarbons and production of oxygenated hydrocarbons higher hydrocarbons from natural gas, comprising: an air compression unit for production of compressed air for supply to processes that require air; a reformer for conversion of a mixture of natural gas, water and oxygen or oxygen-enriched air from the air separation unit into a synthesis gas comprising mainly N₂, H₂, CO, CO₂ and small amounts of methane; a synthesis unit for conversion of the synthesis gas for synthesis of higher hydrocarbons; means for injecting gas into the reservoir; and means for transferring nitrogen from the air separation unit to the means for injecting gas; means for transferring at least a part of the nitrogen rich waste gas from the synthesis unit to the means for injecting gas; and

Art Unit: 3672

a tail gas treatment unit for removing CO by a shift reaction and separation of hydrogen from the remaining tail gas (paragraphs 0016, 0037, 0025, and 0043-0044). With respect to claim 32, Parsley does not disclose the separation of hydrogen or means for introducing hydrogen into the F-T loop to adjust the ratio of H2/CO. Bohn et al. disclose separating hydrogen and introducing it to the FT loop to adjust the ratio of H2/CO in order to recycle gases and increase the H2:Co ratio in the synthesis gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Parsley by including a H2 recycle line as taught by Bohn et al. in order to recycle gas and increase the H2:CO ratio in the synthesis gas.

With respect to claim 28, the reference further discloses means for transferring the remaining tail gas from the tail gas treatment unit to the means for injecting gas (paragraphs 0043-0044).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Newly found reference Bohn et al. reads on the claims that were previously indicated allowable. Thus, the claims are not in condition for allowance as indicated above. Accordingly, this rejection is non-final.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICOLE COY whose telephone number is (571)272-5405. The examiner can normally be reached on M, Tu, F, and every other Wednesday from 8:30am-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,417 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J. Bagnell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672

nac